

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

GL.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,558	02/0	05/2004	Carmella Jannuzzi	1338.1001 5530	
21831	7590	08/11/2004		EXAM	INER
STEINBER		•	GILBERT, SAMUEL G		
	140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR EW YORK, NY 10036-5803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3736	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Communication	10/772,558	JANNUZZI, CARMELLA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel G Gilbert	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau		·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aten Application (1 10-102)					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,558

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal language "means" in line 3. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-12 - are improper dependent claims because claim 10 depends from itself thereby making the metes and bounds of the claim indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe or define what is a "come hither" movement. Such a movement is not generally known in the medical arts.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,558

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradley(4,002,164).

Claim 1 - the curved portion is shown generally at -30-, the straight portion is located between handle -62- and the curve of -30-, and mechanical means - 50- and -18-.

Claims 2, 5, and 6 - it is the examiner's position that the movement shown in figure 1 is arcuate, extending (when moving from a curved position to a straight position) and "come hither".

Claim 7 - is directed to an intended use of the device and does not further limit the structure of the device.

Claim 8 - the straight portion defined above is coextensive with portion - 28- of the curved portion.

Claims 1, 4, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bakunin et al(3,504,665). Element -28 is an exciter that is inserted into the vagina and element -32- is a clitoral stimulator. The mechanical means are set forth in the handle shown in Figures 1 and 4.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakunin et al (3,504,665) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tsai (6,190,307). Bakunin et al teaches a stimulation device as claimed which imparts oscillating motion to the stimulation device. A circular motion is not taught. Tsai teaches a stimulation device having both internal and external stimulation components which can be driven in a circular motion, applicant's attention is invited to figure 5 and the related written description. In the absence of showing any criticality in the type of motion selected for stimulation it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any known type of motion as an ordinary design expedient. In this case it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use the circular rotation taught by Tsai in place of the oscillating motion taught by Bakunin et al.

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 5,853,362; 6,132,366; 5,690,603; and a one page copy of the cover and page 2 of a "Good Vibrations" catalog from Summer 1997. These references teach related sexual stimulation devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/772,558

Art Unit: 3736

Art Unit 3736

Page 6

sgg